## Appendix 1: Risk Register - Cabinet - 3 October 2019

last updated: 06/09/19

Polly McKinlay PM Project Manager - Senior Commissioning Officer (Rough Sleeping and Single Homelessness)

Dave Scholes DS Project Sponsor - Housing Strategy and Needs Manager Rachel Lawrence RL Rough Sleeping and Single Homelessnes Manager

		Onni			Date Raised	Owner	Gross	Cu	rrent	Res	sidual	Contro	ols		
Ref Title	Risk description	Opp/ threat	Cause	Consequence			I P	1	P	1	P Control description	Due date	Status	Progress %	Action Owner
1 Further building control requirements	Building control identifies items required not currently costed - e.g. fire modifications, energy efficiency measures, additional showers and toilets, etc	Threat	Draft costings did not take into account building regulations	Would increase overall cost	11.3.19	PM/DS	3 3	4	5	2	contingency, built into the costings. We have done	Ongoing	Building control requirements have increased the cost significantly	90	PM and DS
2 Council governance delays	Council governance processes are unable to agree to move the project forward at exactly the point where this is needed	Threat	Council governance processes are slow and/or officers not understadning of processes and deadlines	Delay in award of and progression with build contract	11.3.19	PM/DS	2 3	2	2	1	A project timeline will be assembled so that the project team are aware of critical points and when submisions must be made	Ongoing	Key dates are being scheduled in and papers being prepared- e.g. the April CEB. Risk of leaders not approving funds for phase 1. Phase 2 being delayed until approval from cabinet and council in October but phasing approach means little overall impact.	50	PM
3 Capital costs shortfall	The funds required to build the project cannot be met from income sources and so need to be covered by housing reserves.	Threat	Unsuccessful bid to MHCLG and failure of fundraising attempts	Housing reserves reduce significantly and by the end of the current MTFP period, the Council would need to assess homelessness risks against the size of the reserves and potentially make financial adjustments to improve the position		PM/RL	3 3	4	4	3	Bid being submitted to RRP Fund. Advice of RS advisor will be sought prior to submitting bid to ensure maximum chance of success. External trusts (e.g. OCF) pursued for fundraising opportunities.	Ongoing	Capital costs are much higher than originally projected and thus whilst funding has been secured from MHCLG and PHE, there is still a shortfall	70	PM/RL
4 Provider (revenue) costs increase and there is a shortfall in meeting them	A service provider cannot be identified to to provide the service specified within the cost envelope envisaged. Lease and revenue cannot be agreed with new provider	Threat	Unrealistic demands from service provider, poor relationship and/or negotiation between client and service provider, unrealistic cost estimates from client	Service revenue costings increase without income to meet them and/or reduced service offer must be put in place	13.3.19	PM/RL	4 4	3	3	3	Advice of initial service provider is being sought, so they can provide inut into costings which appear realistic and appropiate. Cost information from compariable services have been used, with the staff team required and FTEs considered		Revenue costs are much higher than originally anticipated. Significant mitigation has already taken place, with costs much reduced from the initial position. Receipt of further government funding is likely, however this remains a significant risk. Delays in opening service have led to delays in decommissioning of other services, also impacting on revenue position.	60	PM/RL
5 Phase 2 not given approval	Cabinet does not give approval to Phase 2 of project so that only one wing is developed	Threat	Cabinet decides that Phase 2 is unaffordable given capital cost increase	Second and third wings are not built and cannot be used for the service. Poor VfM given phase 1 costs include some overall building costs	6.9.19	PM/DS	4 2	4	2	2	Phases 1 & 2 - that this represents hest value for	Ongoing	This will be known by mid October	50	PM/DS
6 Design stage identifies further and currently uncosted build requirements	Additional and previously unidentified issues being identified during the build process that require additional works or spend		Build requirements not being thought through in draft design, e.g. air circulation systems, etc		11.3.19	PM/DS	3 3	4	5	2	There is a 10% project contingency and a 10% build contingency, built into the costings. Feasablity work has been undertaken to ensure draft design is based on	Ongoing	Well controlled risk	90	PM/DS
7 Design stage identified further planning requirements	Design stage identifies further works that require further planning permission	Threat	Original planning application did not forsee additional requirements	This could create delays on process & further risk of no approvals	11.3.19	PM	2 3	2	5	2	correct planning application was made and we will be clear with the architectural team that external works should be avoided where at all possible	Ongoing	This was the case (unavoidable) and has had a small impact on cost, but was approved in good time	90	PM
8 Building Control not approved	Building Control does not give approval to overall design concept	Threat	Design concept did not take into account building control	Unable to deliver concept and/or need to spend money/time reconfiguring	11.3.19	PM	4 2	3	1	3	Advance conversations were had with building control. Will seek to engage them with architectural consultants early.	Ongoing	Tracker being updated. Majority of items are green. Few items still to be cleared with further information to be provided by architects.  Howeer no outstanding major	75	PM

9	Phased approach	Project is being completed in two phases in order that it can start to be delivered as early as possible.	Threat	Increased costs of phasing (e.g. erecting barriers), risks to clients if building work taking place whilst building already being occupied.	Need to deliver project as early as possible to deliver services	13.3.19	PM/DS/MS	3 4	4	2 4	4 2	. 4	that health and safety guidance is followed and given to architects/constructors as relevant.	Ongoing	Phased approach is planned. Phasing impact on price known and accounted for. Pre-construction meetings and ongoing meetings with contractors to minimise H&S risks.	50	PM/DS/MS
10	ODS build/supply chain delays	Direct Services cannot schedule the work to start as expected, nor can complete within the proposed contract length, or experience difficulties mobilising required suppliers - e.g. for a new boiler.	Threat	ODS not prepared and/or timeframe unrealistic and/or suppliers not mobilisied quickly enough	Would create delays on the project and delay opening	11.3.19	PM/DS	4 3	3	3 3	3 2	! 3	Advance planning, consideration of a phased approach to delivery and internal discussions with ODS to make them aware of timeframe and prepare to deliver project. Advance planning for supply chain issues - e.g. being aware of lead in time required to source new boiler.	Ongoing	Initial discussions taken forwards with ODS. Phasing still being considered	30	DS/MS
11	Architectural delay	Architects firm does not complete the work in the required timeframe	Threat	Architects do not have sufficient time. Client does not manage sufficiently, or timeframe unrealistic	Would create delays on the project	11.3.19	PM/DS	3 3	3	3 3	3 2	. 2	The limited timeframe has been made very clear in the tender and will further be made clear when architects appointed, with a timetable laid out from the start. The client will manage the architects throughout the design process, to ensure they stay on track.	Ongoing	Architects have completed work in good time however there have been some delays - e.g. getting M&E information and providing it to building control. Further delays could still occur - e.g. in phasing of project. Regular meetings taking place to ensure project completed on time	80	PM/DS
12	HB income insufficient	Insufficient income from housing benefit	Threat	Rate card is knocked back for being excessive. Claims are not made and/or clients are not folowed up for payment	Scheme is forced to draw on reserves more than intended	13.3.19	PM/RL	4 4	4	3 2	2 2	. 2	Clients will not be asked to pay a direct service charge in the 72h SStS service. The service specification will incude making HB claims as a specific role requirement. Voids and bad debt provision have already been factored into calculations and HB Service Manager has been consulted on scheme and agrees with the concept/ HBV eligibility expectations	Ongoing	Detail being finalised with rate card and spec, however positive foundations have been laid with service internally to fast-track claims. St Mungos forsee high risk with claiming full rent/service charge - final approach yet to be	70	PM/RL
13	Difficulty in mobilising service provider	Service Provider does not have staff and sufficient logistics in place in order to open service by early winter.	Threat	Service Provider is unable to mobilise sufficiently in order to provide service specified, and on time, due to poor time management and planning, any legal difficulties (e.g. TUPE implications), or unrealistic demands from the Client	Service cannot be provided on time	13.3.19	PM/RL	4 4	4	3 2	2 3	2	Early and consistent consultation with service provider, quick resolution by Client to any issues that arise, advice sought promptly and as needed, Client to provide realistic timeframe and mitigations for delays in getting to full staffing capacity	Ongoing	Many discussions have been had with service provider who are aware of timeframe. Recruitment has now started in good time. Advice has been sought on legal implications e.g TUPE. Some early issues apparent - e.g. senior service manager going out to advert again following no suitable candidate found. Secondment opportunities being identified as Plan B	60	PM/RL
14	Poor constructor quality	Contractors do not complete the work to the required standard	Threat	Poor quality instruction and/or poor quality leadership and workmanship	Could mean project of poor quality	6.9.18	PM/DS	4 2	2	4 2	2 4	. 2	contract being put in place to ensure expectations clear.	Ongoing	Regular meetings held between client, architects and constructors to ensure high quality and any misunderstandings resolved	50	PM/DS
15	Poor architectural quality	Architects firm does not complete the work to the required standard	Threat	Architects do not have sufficient expertise or time. Client does not instruct sufficiently. Result in building not being well designed for use.	Could mean project of poor quality	11.3.19	PM/DS	4 3	33	4 2	2 2	2 2	that a high quality firm is selected, and a draft specification written to ensure the brief is clear. They will be managaed closely throughout the process by a client who has sufficient understanding of project requirements.	Ongoing	Architects appointed through competetive tender and with clear specification. Finalising specification for stage 5 to ensure continued high quality input.	80	PM/DS
16	Costs/Variation exceeding contingency	The price of construction goes over the price quoted due to variations required	Threat	Quote was unrealistically low or building surveys/scope of work was incomplete and did not forsee necessary variations	Would make the project more expensive.	11.3.19	PM/DS	3	3	2 2	2 2	2 2	Architect and constructor has been made aware of limited cost envelope. Contract includes contingency and a minimum of provisional items.	Ongoing	Gavin Cumberland in charge of any variations and has enough knowledge to interrogate necessity well	50	PM/DS

	The service does not suceed in moving people off of the street and into sustained positive outcomes	Threat	Poor performance by service provider, insufficient enablers (e.g. poor sytems, limited availability of move-on options, staffing issues). Undefined expectations - people expect too much from it	Service attains a poor reputation and only has limited success in ensuring that nobody has to sleep rough on streets of Oxford	13.3.19	PM/RL	3	3 3	3 2	2 3	2	Tightly specificed service specification with clear monitoring arrangements in place to ensure outcomes are achieved. Broader transformation programme of work to ensure that enablers are in place - e.g. expansion of move on accomodation	Ongoing	Further work to do on wider transformation programme and on specifying and defining service outcomes and measurements but we have a good base to progress from including a draft specification and clear expectations with provider. Not a current risk	50	PM/RL
1 1 '	Client refusal to use project/insufficient engagement	Threat	Poor reputation, design creates risks for clients	Project cannot reduce rough sleeping numbers as hoped	13.3.19	PM/RL	3	3 3	3 3	3 2	2	Clients are engaged in deisgn of project, to ensure it reflects service user needs. All rough sleeping data indicated high levels of need for this service. Street engagement approaches will be amended to reflect this new provision, as will the relationship between this service and others in the adult homeless pathways/ other pathways. New area plan to be developed inc community safety	Ongoing	Co-design and consultation being built into design process. No current concerns	50	PM/RL
local area	Clients using project behave in a way that has impacts on other clients in the project and on the surrounding area/city centre	Threat	Behaviour of clients is not appropiatley managed by service providers, design of building facilitates antisocial behaviour	Scheme gets a bad reputation amonst rough sleepers and amongst the public/neighbours which takes officer time to resolve and decreases project outcomes, clients are scared to use it and	13.3.19	PM/RL	3	4 3	3 3	3 3	2	Design will seek to include features that help provide a safe environment and reduce anti-social behaviour. Specification will include an anti-social behaviour management plan and stakeholder engagement	Ongoing	Architects spec includes concepts such as PIE, which will help to design a safe environment. ASB management plan will be based on work already undertaken at Bonn	50	PM/RL
high/Supply too low	Too many rough sleepers need to use the service and it does not have capacity, resulting in waiting lists	Threat	The number of rough sleepers increases more than anticipated or move-through the project is insufficient	continue to sleep rough, major incidents happen which gives poor reputation and nutre people at viet.  The project does not have (or is perceived not to have) the expected impact on reducing numbers of rough sleepers, and members and public call for additional initiatives which cannot be funded.	13.3.19	PM/RL	2	4 2	2 4	1 2	3	Demand modelling for service, flexible capacity, flexible approach to commissioning of other services as required - subject to funding constraints. Tight management of adult homeless pathway, of voids etc, to ensure maximum throughput	Ongoing	Work has started on maximising effectiveness of services and adult homeless pathway - more to be undertaken	30	PM/RL
	There are not enough clients in need to fill the capacity of the service	Threat	Lower numbers of rough sleepers than expected. Particularly a risk in later years of the project, where we hope demand will decrease	Number of clients too low means insufficient housing benefit income	13.3.19	PM/RL	3	2 3	3 2	2 1	2	Other options for use of the space to be idenfitied so that some space can continue to attract income without the entire service needing to be decommissioned. Staff numbers to be flexible, by some posts being awarded on temporary contracts	Ongoing	Other options for income generation/use of space are still being explored	30	PM/RL
other local	Other providers may challenge the approach of client not initially procuring the service but instead awarding a grant contract	Threat	Initial service provision will not be procured - instead, existing contract wll be modified	1 .	13.3.19	PM/RL	2	3 2	2 2	2 1	2	Maintain good relationships with other service providers and give them some input into project. Seek legal/procurement advice on liklihood and basis for any challenge. Seek to tender the new contract from year 2 on.	Ongoing	Other service providers being made aware of approach to be taken and being included in discussions about other ways they can contribute. No current concerns raised.	65	PM/RL
23 QS delays	QS requires longer than a week to cost the works schedule	Threat	Timeline unrealistic or architect does not appoint QS with sufficient time and/or expertise	Would create delays on the project	11.3.19	PM/DS	2	4 2	2 2	2 2	2	The limited timeframe has been made very clear in the tender and will further be made clear to the architectural team who will be closely managed by the client to ensure that appointment of QS is not delayed	Ongoing	Q/S appears to be producing work according to schedule. All Q/S work now produced	100	PM/DS
24 ODS tender delays	Direct Services require more than a week to provide a costed tender		Timeline unrealistic or ODS do not have sufficient time and/or expertise	Would create delays on the project	11.3.19	DS/MS	2	3 2	2 3	3 2	2	Advance planning and internal discussions to make clear to ODS that timeframe is had	Ongoing	Further discussions to be had with ODS. ODS quote now received.	100	DS
25 Procurement delay	Use of the portal creates delays in process	Threat	Portal has minimum time requirements	Would create delays on the project	11.3.19	PM	2	4 (	0	0	0	Solved - timeline reflects accurate speed of procurement whch is not creating delays	Ongoing	Solved	100	PM/RL
	Planning permission not awarded or conditions unacceptable	Threat	Objections are raised against the application that lead members to vote against the proposal	Scheme cannot go ahead/appeal must be sought	11.3.19	PM	5	2 2	2 1	1 1	1	PM attending planning committee	Closed	Planning permission was granted 12.3, limited conditions were made, with expectation of discharge. Planning permission for the external was granted on 9.7	100	PM

This page is intentionally left blank